

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
08/923,369	09/03/1997	SHIGEAKI KOIKE	SONY-C5757	2545		
29175	7590 07/01/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			BOCCIO, VINCENT F			
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2615	21 w		
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	#34		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	•	08/923,369	KOIKE ET AL.	11/2				
• .	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Vincent F. Boccio	2615					
Davied 6	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	)ss				
	or Reply	/ IC CET TO EVOIDE AM	ONTU(S) EDOM					
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a in within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this commandation (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>08 Ap</i>	oril 2004.						
,		action is non-final.						
3)	<del>'</del>							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 10,12,13,16, 25 and 28-30 is/are pend	ding in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>10,12,13,16,25 and 28-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7)								
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No. <u>08/563,188</u> . received in this National Sta	age				
<b>.</b>	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not	received.					
A44m - la								
Attachmei 1) ☐ Noti	nus) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date	-0)				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	12)				

Application/Control Number: 08/923,369

Art Unit: 2615

# <u>DETAILED ACTION</u> Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 4/8/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- {A} In re page 1, applicant states, "Neither Lang, Takada or Honjo disclose the arrangement claimed in claim 10".
- 2. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).
- {B} In re page 1-2, applicant states, Lang ... does not disclose a signal processor for switching, between the Digital tape recording means, the analog video input means and the digital transfer circuit. Further to point out the elements of Lang that read on claim 10.

In response the examiner fails to agree.

Since Lang in Fig. 2, elements 12, 13, 34 and 14, also Fig. 3, elements 12, 13, 34 and 14, provides all the functionality, routing as recited and reads on the claimed elements, as claimed.

The examiner suggests, to recite in the claims, more detail of what is not included these elements,

- {A} transfer circuit;
- {B} processor;
- {C} digital interface;

to provide a distinction, as being separate, independent and distinct elements, thereby claiming what is

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 08/923,369

Art Unit: 2615

not included rather, in additional to what is included, seems required to utilizing some language to negate, some functions or elements, to distinguish the claims from the prior art, as applied.

{C} In re page 2, applicant states, "Honjo teaches nothing regarding a digital tape recording means and disc recording means, and the various components of claim 10.

In response Honjo is provided for the teaching of buffering only.

Further, as one skilled in the art would understand, Honjo teaches buffering to and from a disk, in view of the ability to recording and reproduce at rates higher than real time, thereby controlling buffering based on the ability of the disk to record and reproduce at high data rates.

Further Honjo is not relied upon for any teaching associated with a tape recorder.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 10, 12-13, 16, 25 and 28-30 are rejected under

Application/Control Number: 08/923,369

Art Unit: 2615

35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Lang (US 5,164,839) in view of Takada et al. (US 5,715,104) and Honjo (US 5,432,769).

Since no amendment to the clams the examiner incorporates by reference the previous actions against the claims, as analyzed and discussed previously.

#### Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Contact Fax Information

Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communication intended for entry)

(703) 308-5359, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,
Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor
(Receptionist).

Application/Control Number: 08/923,369

Art Unit: 2615

#### Contact Information

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to the examiner of record, Monday-Thursday, 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM Vincent F. Boccio (703) 306-3022.

If any attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiners supervisor, Andy Christensen (703) 308-9644.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Customer Service (703) 306-0377.

Primary Examiner, Boccio, Vincent 6/28/04

VINCENT BOCCIO VINCENT BOCCIO